Application No. 10/605,858 Docket No. 132855 Amendment dated November 26, 2004 Reply to Office Action of August 26, 2004

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner reviewed claims 1-20 of the above-identified US Patent Application, with the result that claims 1-5, 7, 9, 10, and 12 were rejected under 35 USC §12, claims 6 and 11 were rejected under 35 USC §103, claims 13-20 were allowed, and claim 8 (which depends from claim 1) was deemed to recite allowable subject matter. In response, Applicants have amended the claims as set forth above. More particularly:

Dependent claim 8 has been canceled (without prejudice to Applicants) and its limitations incorporated into its parent claim 1 pursuant to the Examiner's conclusion that claim 8 recites allowable subject matter. As such, independent claim 1 and its remaining dependent claims 2-7 and 9-12 are believed to be allowable over the prior art of record.

New independent claim 21 has been presented that is identical to claim 1 as filed except for incorporating the additional requirements that the activator is first dissolved in the solvent to form an activator solution, after which the particulate filler and particulate donor material are mixed with the activator solution to form the adhesive mixture. Support for this limitation can be found in Applicants' specification in the first two sentences of paragraph [0027].

New dependent claims 22-30 depend from new independent claim 21, and recite the same limitations as original claims 2-6 and 8-11 as filed.

Application No. 10/605,858

Docket No. 132855

Amendment dated November 26, 2004

Reply to Office Action of August 26, 2004

Applicants believe that the above amendments do not present new matter.

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of remaining claims 1-7 and 9-20 and new

claims 21-30 are respectfully requested in view of the above amendments and the

following remarks.

Prior Art Rejections

The Examiner rejected independent claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-7 and

9-12 under 35 USC §102 or 103 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,997,604 to Rafferty et al.

(Rafferty), but deemed dependent claim 8 to recite allowable subject matter. As noted

above, Applicants have amended independent claim 1 to incorporate all of the

limitations of dependent claim 8. Applicants therefore respectfully request withdrawal

of the rejections of claims 1-7 and 9-12 under 35 USC §102 and 103.

New Claims 21-30

New independent claim 21 requires the step of dissolving an activator in a

solvent to form an activator solution, after which the particulate filler and particulate

donor material are mixed with the activator solution to form the adhesive mixture.

The Examiner cited Rafferty as teaching "a metalide coating system 15

which comprises a paste binder in combination with powdered metal alloy, a halogen

source as an activator which reacts with the metal of the meal alloy, and a filler."

- 12 -

PAGE 13/16 * RCVD AT 11/26/2004 11:16:17 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:(219) 464-1166 * DURATION (mm-ss):05-24

Application No. 10/605,858 Docket No. 132855 Amendment dated November 26, 2004 Reply to Office Action of August 26, 2004

Rafferty was further cited as teaching that the metalide coating system 15 can be in the form of a tape, slurry, or paste, "the binder may be in the form of a paste, which necessarily comprises some solvent," and "the particles of the metalide system (activator particles, filler particles, and metal alloy particles) are necessarily dissolved in the solvent used to form the paste."

While Rafferty's process might result in some activator particles being partially dissolved in the binder's solvent if the solvent is of a type capable of dissolving the activator particles, Applicants do not believe that the activator particles would be necessarily entirely dissolved in the binder solvent. In any event, nowhere does Rafferty teach or even suggest dissolving the activator particles to form a solution into which Rafferty's powdered metal alloy, filler, and binder are mixed to form a tape, slurry, or paste. Instead, any solvent used by Rafferty is first required to be mixed with Rafferty's binder to render the binder capable of adhering together the solid components of Rafferty's tape, slurry, or paste. Therefore, Applicants believe that Rafferty does not anticipate or obviate new claims 21-30, and respectfully request their allowance.

Closing

In view of the above, Applicants believe that all rejections to their claims have been overcome, and that the claims define patentable novelty over all the references, alone or in combination, of record. It is therefore respectfully requested that

Application No. 10/605,858 Docket No. 132855 Amendment dated November 26, 2004 Reply to Office Action of August 26, 2004

this patent application be given favorable reconsideration.

Should the Examiner have any questions with respect to any matter now of record, Applicants' representative may be reached at (219) 462-4999.

Respectfully submitted,

Domenica N.S. Hartman

Reg. No. 32,701

November 26, 2004 Hartman & Hartman, P.C. Valparaiso, Indiana 46383 TEL.: (219) 462-4999

FAX: (219) 464-1166

Attachment: Fee Transmittal form

Under the Paperso	or). Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are requi	ired ky n	spynd	75 R CO	ection	of information unless it disclays a valid (IMB control number,	
FEE TRANSMITTAL			Complete If Known				
I LL INAMONITAL				plication Number		iar 10/605.858	
	:	Fire!			October 31 2003	EIVED	
for FY 2004 Effective 10/01/2003. Petent fees are subject to enruel revision.				Name	d Inver	nter Park CENTRAL	FAX CENTER
			Examiner Name		lame		
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27			Art Unit			1762 NUV	2 6 2004
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 180.00			Attorney Docket No.		cket N		
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)						ECALCULATION (continued)	
Check Greek child Money Other Wash			DOLL	ONA			
Oroar Dougle			3. ADDITIONAL FEES Large Entity: Small Entity				
Dennitie			Fee	Fee	Fee	Fee Description	
Account 07-0	385	1051	130	Cour 2051	•	Burcharge - sute filing tee or carn	
# Denne# 1 -	eral Electric Company	1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filling ten or	
Nama			- 135	1063		Cover sheet . Hon-English specification	
Title Offector is authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge kee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments			2,520	2		For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
Charge any soditional facts or any underpayment of fee(s)			920*	1	925	Requesting publication of SIR prior to	
Charge feets indicated below, except for the filling fee			1.9401	1805		Examiner action Requesting publication of SIR after	
to the above-identified deposit scooper.			:5,40		. 0-10	Examiner aution	
FEE CALCULATION			110	2251		Extension for raply within first month	
1. BASIC FILING FEE			420 950	2253 2253		a storasion for copy within second month	
Large Entity Small En	 Fee Description Fee Paid 	1253 1254	1.480	2354		Extension for rophy within that month	
Code (\$) Code (\$) 35: Utsty flung fee		2,310	2255		Extension for roply within fourth month Extension for roply within this month	
T	70 Designating les	1401	330	2491		Notice of Appea	
1003 630 2003 2		1402	330	2402		Fitting a brief in support of an appeal	
1004, 770 2004 3	35 : Reissue filing fee	1400)	280	2403		Request/or nisi hearing:	
1005 760 7005	Provisional filing fee	1451	1.510	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
1	SUBTOTAL (1) (S) 0.00	1452	110	7452	.55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE			1,330	2453		Petition to myive - unintentional	
Fee from Fee from the fow Fee Paid the fee from the fee f			1.330 480	250t 2502		Criffiny issue fen (or ressue) Design lesue fee	
			640	2503		Plant issue fen	
			130	1450		Petitions to the Commissioner	
			50	1807	20	Processing lev under 97 CFR 5.17(q)	
Feo Fee Foe	Foo Foo Description	1806	180	1808		Submission of Information Disclosure Simi.	
Code (\$) Code	(S).	802 i.	45.	8621	45.	Recording each potent ausignment per property (Smes number of properties)	
1202 18 (220) 1201 88 (220)		1(9)2	:770	5698	265	Führt a submission after fihal relection	
1	3 145 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	1010	770	2810		(37 CFR 1.129(a)) For each additional invention to be	
1	41 ** Retasue independent delms	1945	1117	2010	343	examined (37 GFR 1/129(b))	
	overswiginal patent	1831		2501		Request for Confidured Examination (RCE)	
1205 18 2205	9 ** Relastie claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	4602	800	1802	900	Kéquest for expudited examination: of a design application	
		tie lep		·			
SUBTOTAL (2) (S) 180 00 "or number proviously paid, if greater, for Relissues, see above				Bátic F	iling Fa	99 Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 0.00	•
SUBMITTED BY					(Complete (if implicable))		
Name (Ponotyke)	William Scott Andes		legistral Coney		33.6	82 Telephone 518/248-5965	
Sanatura	111		P			Ndvember 26, 2004	

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1:17 and 1:27. This information is required to obtain of retain's benefit by the public which is to the (pind by the USPTO to process) an application. Control-infallity is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1:14. This collection is estimated to take 12 initiates to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form another suggestions for motions bits burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Office; U.S. Palent and Tracement, Cfice, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 2231 1055.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTQ-9199 and select option 2.